

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/632,145	Applicant(s) SEGNER ET AL.	
	Examiner Jeffrey G. Hoekstra	Art Unit 3736	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey G. Hoekstra.

(2) Patrick O'Connell.

Date of Interview: 18 January 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: BPAI decision mailed 12/1/10

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: a.m.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
22,23,45-47,49

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner and Applicant discussed an Examiner's Amendment to place the Application in condition for allowance. See attached.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeffrey G Hoekstra/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3736

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)